

# Summary of ITLS Course Evaluations

Date June 8-9, 2010

Please rate by checking √: 5 = Highest, best or most; 1 = least, lowest or worst.

Rate the extent to which your personal objectives were met \_\_\_\_\_

Rate the appropriateness of the physical facilities \_\_\_\_\_

This program will assist in improving quality patient care \_\_\_\_\_

5	4	3	2	1
14	4		1	
14	5			
15	2	2		

Please rate the effectiveness of education on the following topics

	5	4	3	2	1
Scene Size Up	14	3	2		
Assessment of Trauma	14	3	2		
Pt. Assessment	14	4	1		
Shock	14	4	1		
Head Injury	15	3	1		
Assessment Demonstration	14	4	1		
<b>Stations</b>					
Head Injury/Helmet Removal	12	5	2		
PT Assessment SMR/Focused Exam	13	3	3		
Rapid Trauma Exam/Rapid Extrication	15	2	2		
Chest Injuries/Needle Decompressions	12	4	3		
<b>Day 2</b>					
Review Pretest	13	4	1		
Thoracic Trauma	15	3	1		
Burns	16	2	1		
Abdominal Trauma	16	3			
Special Considerations in Trauma	16	2	1		
Moulage	16	3			
Evaluation Station	14	2	1	1	1
Evaluation Station	#	4		1	1
Evaluation Station	#	3	1	1	1
Evaluation Station	14	3		1	1

**Use this section to comment on specific topics.**

“1) Eval stations some instructors wanted immediate ALS intubation while others didn’t - not on same page?  
 2) Lectures were very well done. Prepared appropriately and easily followed . Learned and enjoyed from the lectures.  
 3) Assessment demonstrations were excellent. 4) Fantastic class. Was a little bit disruptive with a few students talking, texting, and reading newspaper/magazines while the instructors were lecturing. 5) Some of the evaluators exam styles contradicted each other.”

**What would have improved the program?** “1) Some instructors contradicted one another. Some southern state protocols were not known, but course was very good. 2) Same page for instructors. 3) Evaluators during stations be more consistent with expectations. 4) Have Fred teach more. 5) Maybe donuts, free lunch, cash money?”

**Please add any additional comments** “ 1) Excellent class and instructors. 2) Knowledge of local protocols and Fire/EMS @ facility teaching for helps students relate better and work it into their EMS system. 3)There was conflict between evaluations during practicals on when & how to do assessments and procedures. One evaluator was not understood by most. 4) Bring more courses to Rio Rico. 5)Wonderful time. Top rate instructors and program that was very easily understood at least by me.”

Your overall assessment of the course \_\_\_\_\_

5	4	3	2	1
13	5		1	